# Detecting Simulated Viral Recombination with Topological Data Analysis

Emil Geisler

UC Davis Summer REU

August 11, 2021

Emil Geisler (UC Davis Summer REU) Detecting Simulated Viral Recombination wit

#### Motivation



Figure: Image of HIV from the CDC

Emil Geisler (UC Davis Summer REU) Detecting Simulated Viral Recombination wit

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

RNA is a molecule which stores the genetic code for RNA viruses, such as HIV and SARS-CoV-2. RNA is comprised of 4 nucleotides labelled A, C, U, G:

Example nucleotide sequence: ACUUCGUAUCG ...

RNA is a molecule which stores the genetic code for RNA viruses, such as HIV and SARS-CoV-2. RNA is comprised of 4 nucleotides labelled A, C, U, G:

Example nucleotide sequence: ACUUCGUAUCG ...

*Question: Given a set of viral nucleotide sequences, can we determine the evolution of a virus?* 

Pointwise Mutation:

#### $ACUUCGUGC \Rightarrow ACGUCGUGC$

Image: A Image: A

Pointwise Mutation:

#### $AC\underline{U}UCGUGC \Rightarrow AC\underline{G}UCGUGC$

Recombination:

#### $AC\underline{UUCGUG}C \Rightarrow AC\underline{GUGCUU}C$

Emil Geisler (UC Davis Summer REU) Detecting Simulated Viral Recombination wit



### Phylogenetic Trees on Recombination



The *Hamming Distance* between two sequences is the number of their nucleotide differences:

 $d(AC\underline{U}UGC, AC\underline{G}UGC) = 1$ 

 $d(AC\underline{U}UG\underline{C}, AC\underline{G}UG\underline{A}) = 2$ 

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

The *Hamming Distance* between two sequences is the number of their nucleotide differences:

$$d(AC\underline{U}UGC, AC\underline{G}UGC) = 1$$

#### $d(AC\underline{U}UG\underline{C}, AC\underline{G}UG\underline{A}) = 2$

Hamming distance allows us to treat nucleotide sequences of length n as points in an n dimensional metric space.

### Nucleotide Sequences as a Point Cloud



# Topology of Point Cloud



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

3

A *simplex* is an

n dimensional generalization of a triangle.

A simplicial

complex is a collection of simplices.

Figure: A 0-simplex, 1-simplex, and 2-simplex.





2

11/39

- ∢ ⊒ →

< 47 ▶



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- 2



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- 2



A D N A B N A B N A B N

2



<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト

3



э

16/39



Emil Geisler (UC Davis Summer REU) Detecting Simulated Viral Recombination wit

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

3



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <四> <四</p>



3

As *filtration parameter*  $\epsilon$  increases, a sequence of simplicial complexes are generated.

Image: A matrix

As filtration parameter  $\epsilon$  increases, a sequence of simplicial complexes are generated.

The *persistence* of a 1-dimensional cycle (hole) is the difference between the maximum and minimum  $\epsilon$  where it exists in the resulting simplicial complex.

The goal of my project is to use computer simulations to analyze the effectiveness of Topological Data Analysis (TDA) in detecting recombination events.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The goal of my project is to use computer simulations to analyze the effectiveness of Topological Data Analysis (TDA) in detecting recombination events.

Variables of interest:

- Distance metric
- Type of recombination
- Measure of TDA

100 copies of a single random 1000 nucleotide sequence are generated.

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

100 copies of a single random 1000 nucleotide sequence are generated.

Pointwise mutations and recombination events are simulated over 30 generations.

(4) (日本)

100 copies of a single random 1000 nucleotide sequence are generated.

Pointwise mutations and recombination events are simulated over 30 generations.

TDA is run on the resulting sequences with a chosen distance metric and a summary of the result is produced.

• • = • • = •

### Types of Recombination Modeled



Standard Hamming Distance:

 $d(AC\_UGC, AC\underline{U}UGC) = 1$ 

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Standard Hamming Distance:

$$d(AC\_UGC, AC\underline{U}UGC) = 1$$

MEGA-X Hamming Distance:

$$d(AC\_UGC, AC\underline{U}UGC) = d(ACUGC, ACUGC) = 0$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Standard Hamming Distance:

$$d(AC\_UGC, AC\underline{U}UGC) = 1$$

MEGA-X Hamming Distance:

$$d(AC\_UGC, AC\underline{U}UGC) = d(ACUGC, ACUGC) = 0$$

Proposed Distance:

$$d(AC \_ UGC, AC \_ UGC) = .5$$

Emil Geisler (UC Davis Summer REU) Detecting Simulated Viral Recombination with

э

#### Standard Hamming Distance on Deletions

Standard Hamming Distance



#### Proposed Distance on Deletions

Deletion .5 Distance



• = • •

3

### MEGA-X on Deletions

MEGA-X Distance



<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト

2

Since the distance metrics given only differ on deletions, they should perform the same on translocation and inversion.

Since the distance metrics given only differ on deletions, they should perform the same on translocation and inversion.

The standard Hamming distance detected deletions more effectively than the proposed metric, and the MEGA-X metric performed by far the worst.

29 / 39

- Number of 1-dimensional cycles.
- Maximum persistence.
- Sum of cycles' persistence.

30 / 39

# Number of Cycles



### Most Persistent Cycle



#### Sum of Persistence Levels



э.

Maximum persistence was the most effective for each type of recombination except translocation, but had high variation (many spikes).

Maximum persistence was the most effective for each type of recombination except translocation, but had high variation (many spikes).

Number of 1-dimensional cycles was ineffective, except for translocation, where it was effective.

Maximum persistence was the most effective for each type of recombination except translocation, but had high variation (many spikes).

Number of 1-dimensional cycles was ineffective, except for translocation, where it was effective.

The sum of the cycle's persistence tended to lie between the maximum persistence and number of 1-dimensional cycles. This led it to be marginally effective for each case.

### Types of Recombination: Number of Cycles



### Types of Recombination: Maximum Persistence



Insertions were the most difficult for TDA to detect, while inversions and deletions were well detected.

Insertions were the most difficult for TDA to detect, while inversions and deletions were well detected.

Translocations were well detected by the number of cycles, but was not detected well by maximum persistence.

37 / 39

• Alpha complex in discrete space.

Image: A marked bit is a second se

• = • •

- Alpha complex in discrete space.
- Prove the "spikiness" of the maximum persistence.

- Dr. Javier Arsuaga and Dr. Máriel Vazquez
- Kristina Moen, Sofia Jakovcevic, Michael Keith
- Everyone in the Biophysics and BioMath groups
- All the REU staff and students that made this summer so great!

39 / 39